## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Salvador Gonzalez-Diaz	Case Number: <u>12-02009M-001</u>	
present and v	was represented by counsel. I conclude by a he defendant pending trial in this case.	42(f), a detention hearing was held on October 9, 2012. Defendant was preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	NDINGS OF FACT	
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
×	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contact	ne defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum of $\underline{\ }$	years imprisonment.	
The 0 at the time of	Court incorporates by reference the material the hearing in this matter, except as noted	findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court in the record.	
	CON	ICLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendar	nt will flee.	
2.	No condition or combination of condition	s will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
		S REGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	facility separate, to the extent practicable, from defendant shall be afforded a reasonable op States or on request of an attorney for the G	e Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in compersons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending portunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court covernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
	APPEALS A	ND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
		stention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Services suff investigate th	iciently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial be District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE: Oct	tober 9, 2012_		

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge